## INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD PROTOCOL MODIFICATION REVIEW CRITERIA

All amendments to research protocols involving human subjects must be submitted to the Institutional Review Board for review and approval prior to implementing the activity describe in the amendment. As provided for in the federal regulations, "minor" administrative changes can be reviewed through an expedited process while the full committee will review "major" changes. All amendment will be reviewed by the IRO staff and IRB Chair to determine whether it can be handled on an expedited basis or if it requires full board review

requires full board review.			
Ful	Review Criteria:	Exp	pedited Review Criteria:
	Review Criteria:Increasing the physical and/or psychologicalrisk/discomfort to the participantMajor change in the design or goal of the studyMaking multiple changes in the protocol, instruments,and/or consentAdding a new consent formExpanding the eligibility criteriaIncreasing the number of participants at riskAdding questions asking for sensitive information e.g.depression or sexualityAdding an element that may breach the confidentiality ofthe participant (example: adding focus groups)Numerous modifications throughout the year where theremay be confusion or a question as to the full scope of thestudyWhenever a study is closed for safety reasons e.g. FDA,DSMB, and/or PI initiated the closure will be referred tothe full Board for reviewGene Therapy Trial - unless minor administrative	Exr • • •	<ul> <li>pedited Review Criteria:</li> <li>Reduction of risk/discomfort to the participant</li> <li>Adding or removing an institution</li> <li>Changes to recruitment and advertising</li> <li>Changes in the PI</li> <li>Adding a questionnaire or instrument similar to the one already</li> <li>approved e.g. uses many of the same questions</li> <li>Removing question from a questionnaire or instrument</li> <li>Minor editorial modifications to the protocol, questionnaire,</li> <li>and/or consent that do not alter the meaning or procedure</li> <li>(spelling change, grammar, etc)</li> <li>Consent form modifications that:</li> <li>Add or remove information from the consent form so that it is consistent with an already approved IRB requirement</li> <li>Defining a phrase more clearly in lay language</li> <li>Updating a consent form to use IRB approved boiler plate language</li> </ul>
	changes or the IRB Chair determines that the risk/discomfort is reduced to the participant.	L	
Please note that the above examples are presented as general guidelines only. Specific amendment classifications are made on a			

case-by-case basis.