傳統醫學研究指引

General guidelines for methodologies or research and evaluation of traditional medicine, WHO, 2000

台北榮民總醫院

郭英調

「傳統醫學研究指引」內容

- Methodologies for research and evaluation of herbal medicines
- 2. Methodologies for Research and Evaluation of Traditional Procedure-Based Therapies
- 3. Clinical Research
- 4. Other Issues and Considerations

Annex I-X

1. Methodologies for research and evaluation of herbal medicines

1.1 Definitions

Herbs

Herbal materials

Herbal preparations

Finished herbal products

Traditional use of herbal medicines

Therapeutic activity

Active ingredients

1.2 Botanical verification and quality considerations

Botanical verification is **necessary**.

Literature review

- the first step involves the evaluation of literature reports.
-cite primary references that can be consulted for in-depth analysis.
- For both safety and efficacy, a pharmacological effect observed in vitro or in animal models is necessarily applicable to humans.

Safety

- The absence of any reported or documented side-effects is **not** an absolute assurance of safety for herbal medicines.
- However, a full range of toxicological tests
 may not be necessary.

Safety

- Only when there is <u>no documentation</u> of long historical use of a herbal medicine, or when <u>doubts</u> <u>exist</u> about its safety, should additional toxicity studies be performed.
- Where possible, such studies should be carried out <u>in</u> vitro.
- If in vivo studies are needed, they are to be conducted humanely.

Efficacy

-the requirements for proof of efficacy,, should depend on the **nature and level of the indications**.
- For the treatment of minor disorders, for nonspecific indications, or for prophylactic uses, less stringent requirements (e.g. observational studies) may be adequate to prove efficacy.

Efficacy

The level of the evidence and the grading of recommendations must correspond to the nature of the illness to be treated or the nature of the physical or mental function to be influenced and regulated.

Clinical trials

- In the case of a new herbal medicine, a **new indication** for an existing herbal medicine, or a significantly different dosage form or route of administration, the general principles and requirements for a clinical trial should be very similar to those which apply to conventional drugs.

Clinical trials

- Well-established, randomized controlled clinical trials provide the highest level of evidence for efficacy.
- However, methods such as randomization and use of a placebo may **not always be possible** as they may involve ethical issues as well as technical problems.

Clinical trials

- In the case of herbal medicines with a strong flavour, placebo substances with the same flavour may have a similar function.
- In such cases, it may be advisable to use a **low dosage** of the same herbal medicine as a control.

2. Methodologies for research and evaluation of traditional procedure-based therapies

2.1 Types of traditional procedure-based therapies Clinical trials

-without the use of medication,..., for example, acupuncture and related techniques, chiropractic, osteopathy, manual therapies, qigong, tai ji, yoga, naturopathy, thermal medicine, and other physical, mental, spiritual and mind – body therapies.

Theories and concepts

The theories and concepts of the various systems of traditional medicine are <u>very</u> <u>Important</u>.

Safety

In general, traditional procedure-based therapies are <u>relatively safe</u>, if they are performed properly by <u>well-trained</u> practitioners.

Safety

The most effective safety measures, therefore, are to ensure that the <u>equipment</u> <u>used is of good quality</u>, as well as ensuring that the practitioners who use it have had sound and well supervised theoretical and <u>practical training</u>.

Efficacy

The efficacy of most forms of traditional procedure-based therapies depends heavily upon the **proficiency of the practitioners**, including their skills and experience.

3. Clinical Research

1 General considerations

Evaluate traditional medicine in its own theoretical framework.

Evaluate traditional medicine in the theoretical **framework of conventional medicine.**

Compare the efficacy of different systems of traditional medicine and/or conventional medicine

Compare the efficacy of different traditional practices within a system of traditional medicine.

3.2 Literature review

The starting point in the design of a research protocol is **a complete literature review**, including the traditional use of the proposed practice and existing scientific research in the field.

3.2 Literature review

A review of the literature should identify the current level of evidence of efficacy and safety for the proposed intervention.the efficacy of a particular treatment may also vary according to the skill and experience of the practitioner.

Study design

Clinical research aimed at evaluating traditional medicine should incorporate the conventional concepts of research design, such as <u>randomized controlled trials</u> or other types of clinical studies, such as <u>observational studies</u>.

Single-case design

In a single-case design, the patient is his or her own control.

Treatment can be randomized for a patient, rather than the patient being randomized for a treatment.

Such designs are appropriate for the <u>development</u> <u>of research hypotheses</u>, <u>testing those</u> <u>hypotheses</u> in daily clinical practice and <u>refining</u> <u>clinical techniques</u>.

black-box design

This means that the treatment and <u>all of its</u> components are delivered as they would be in the usual clinical situation.

This allows the <u>effectiveness</u> of traditional medicine to be determined either within its own theoretical framework or within that of conventional medicine.

Ethnographic design

These and other qualitative studies can **provide baseline information** from which hypotheses may be generated, and can lead to further research.

bservational design

Observational studies have specific advantages in studying aspects of clinical **safety**.

The use of such studies to prove **efficacy** is **limited** because bias in patient selection may occur.

Observational design

Cross-sectional study.

Cohort study.

Case-control study.

udy outcome measures

It is essential that the outcome measures chosen be <u>appropriate</u> to the research question. Appropriate outcomes may include

Appropriate outcomes may include **quantitative and qualitative** outcomes; primary and/or secondary outcomes; and generic and/or highly specific outcomes.

Selection of patients

Publication of the study requires a clear description of the patients using both traditional and conventional terms.

The <u>reliability</u> of the categorization/diagnostic criteria used in the study should be considered and stated.

Selection of patients

The source of the patients under study should be comprehensively described along with details of the recruitment process.

The <u>inclusion and exclusion criteria</u> should be completely described and rationalized.

Sample size

The number of patients in a study needs to be adequate, in order to be able to determine any clinically important differences between the study groups.

Control groups

well established treatment

non-treatment

different doses of the same treatment

sham or placebo treatment

full-scale treatment

minimal treatment

alternative treatment..

Control groups

Randomized controlled trials <u>require one or</u> <u>more control</u> groups for purposes of comparison Placebo-controlled trials are intended to establish <u>whether treatment is valuable over and above</u> what might be achieved by a control treatment.

Control groups

The use of a **placebo**, when possible, is desirable alternative treatment.

It is preferable to compare <u>a herbal medicine</u> with both <u>a well-established treatment</u> and <u>another control</u> group

Randomization

Randomization is essential to **control** various known, and even unknown, **biases**.

- .. randomization can be <u>impossible or</u> unethical.
- .. solve ..by the proper selection of control treatments.

Blind assessment

Blind assessment is a **critical** component of conventional evaluation of therapeutic interventions

Treatment blinding is <u>difficult to</u> <u>implement</u> in most types of traditional procedure-based therapies.

Blind assessment

It is important, however, to reduce any bias introduced by non-blinded treatment by carrying out a <u>blinded assessment of the</u> <u>primary outcomes</u> of the study.

Evaluation of quality of life

The WHO QOL user manual,

Other issues related to therapeutic interventions the therapeutic intervention; reasons for selection the intervention rationale for the choice of the study outcomes; description of the outcome measurements, including validity and reliability a comprehensive protocol measurements expected outcomes the statistical method was based

Other issues related to therapeutic interventions

- The type of intervention
- The training, skills and experience of the traditional medical practitioner (intra-practitioner variability and inter-practitioner variability)
- The dose, frequency and duration of a treatment.
- The duration of follow-up.
- Temporal considerations (seasonal variations)

4. Other issues and considerations

4.1 Pragmatic research issues

The infrastructure for research in traditional medicine is significantly less developed than that for conventional medicine.

4.2 Ethics

The international ethical guidelines for biomedical research involving human subjects **should be implemented** in each clinical trial.

Rescue treatment may be provided to patients involved in clinical trial involving the use of a placebo or unproven treatment.

赫爾辛基宣言之精神

自主:受試驗者,是在被充分告知相關訊息後,<u>自由決定</u>要參加的。

有益:參加試驗的風險相對於可能有的好處,是可以接受的。受試驗者參加試驗後,並不會犧牲其權益,仍會受到已證明有效的最佳照顧。

評估臨床試驗最常問的倫理問題(1/3) EMEA

這個臨床試驗是不是有必要?

Was there really a need for this clinical trial?

對照組該給予有效治療或是安慰劑?

Best active control treatment or placebo group?

這個臨床試驗是不是沒有明顯的偏差或缺失

Has the clinical trial eliminated obvious bias and deception?

評估臨床試驗最常問的倫理問題(2/3)

EMEA

若有效的話,這個臨床試驗的樣本數和統計檢定力是否足以呈現其效果?

Are sample size and statistical power adequate to show an effect if present?

病患接受有效治療的機率是否能夠接受?

Were patient's chances of receiving an active medicine acceptable?

評估臨床試驗最常問的倫理問題(3/3) EMEA

參與此臨床試驗之病患的安全性如何?

What was the safety of patients entering the clinical trial?

參與此臨床試驗的病患是否恰當?

What type of patients should have been entered?

